Department of Mathematical Sciences

Assessment Plan

1. Goals for student learning at the program level

The overall mission of the mathematics majors is to prepare students for the lifelong study and use of mathematics. As for the specific educational goals of the program, we expect our graduates to be able to:

- 1. Organize and analyze data and information and synthesize problem solutions using appropriate mathematical tools;
- 2. Formulate conjectures, find counterexamples and state and prove theorems carefully;
- 3. Learn mathematics outside of the classroom through self-study or group-study, including the ability to refresh knowledge;
- 4. Communicate mathematics effectively both orally and in writing;
- 5. Use technology to aid in the above.

2. Descriptions of methods demonstrating that students have learned.

- Our formal assessment of the program's goals is done through the courses MATH 405 Senior Seminar and MAED 410 Seminar: Mathematics for High School Teachers. Each mathematics major is required to take one of these two capstone courses. Both of these courses require each student to give two presentations, one on a problem assigned by the instructor, and the other on a topic (usually a journal article) of the student's choice. These presentations are done orally, making use of the blackboard, overhead projector, and/or computer; during them, faculty members and the other students in the class ask questions frequently. In addition, each student submits written versions of their presentations, which become a part of the student's permanent file. Each presentation is rated by those faculty in attendance (see attachment). We end these capstone courses by asking the students to tell us how the program did and did not meet their needs. The students form a focus group to discuss the programs. We plan to add a written survey at this point.
- The Mathematical Sciences faculty closely monitors each student's progress through the program. We often share with each other results on quizzes and examinations, particular when a question tests the students' knowledge of some fundamental concept. We call these "miniassessments".
- All mathematics education majors go through a yearly portfolio screening. Arrogate data from this assessment are used for program improvement.

 As we notice problems we sometimes design an assessment to look at those areas, try making a change and then try the assessment again. We use this to see if there was in fact some improvement.

Information gathered in this way is reviewed at the end of the academic year by those instructors who taught the courses during that year. The Department meets after final exams each spring to review the findings, look for evidence that the goals are being met, or for indications of problems. The Department then looks for ways to initiate changes to improve the program.

In addition to the above practices, we would like to develop procedures for keeping better track of our alumni, and for surveying them on a regular basis as to what they perceive to be the strengths and weaknesses of the program.

3. Time lines for assessment practice

Results from MATH 405 and MAED 410, and other assessments performed during the year, will be reviewed at the end of the academic year by those instructors who taught the courses during that year. The Department meets after final exams each spring to review the findings, look for evidence that the goals are being met, or for indications of problems. The Department then looks for ways to initiate changes to improve the program.

4. Assignments of responsibility for carrying out the assessment plan

The instructors for MATH 405 and MAED 410 will compile their results and present it to the Department during our assessment meeting during the week after the spring final exams. The Chair will report the findings from the department meeting.

5. Record-keeping to allow access to student learning data by all institutional units relevant to their area for use to improve their effectiveness, programs and services

The data and reports are kept in the department chair's office and where feasible on the Mathematical Science Department Angel site.

6. **This is the most important: Processes for using assessment results to improve learning and evidence of change

Results from assessments performed during the year, will be reviewed at the end of the academic year by those instructors who taught the courses during that year. The Department meets after final exams each spring to review the findings, look for evidence that the goals are being met, or for indications of problems. The Department then looks for ways to initiate changes to improve the program. Often we will set up a committee to study the problem in detail and propose changes during the following academic year.

Reference

CUPM Guidelines for Assessment of Student Learning, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, D.C., 2005.

MATH 405 – Senior Seminar

Assessment of Oral Presentation

INE	ame:						Semes	ster:	Yeal	r:	
M	ajor:	Math/Adol. Ed.			Math/Mid. E		d.	Math/I	Liberal Arts		
Title:							First_	or	Second	_ Presentation	
pre		vel of a	ccompl	ishmen	t on tha	at item.	Write "I	NA" if th	that best ind ne item does	icates the not apply, and	
1.	The problem or purpose of the article was stated clearly.										
	Unclear	1	2	3	4	5	Clear				
2.	The presentation was well prepared.										
	Unprepare	ed	1	2	3	4	5	Well p	repared		
3.	The presentation was well organized.										
	Poorly org	ganized	1	2	3	4	5	Well o	rganized		
4.	The backg	The background material and/or examples provided were relevant to the problem/article.									
	Irrelevant 1 2			3	4	5	Relevant				
5.	The mathematics used in the presentation was correct.										
	Incorrect	1	2	3	4	5	Correct				
6.	The logical development was clear and the proofs were correct.										
	Unclear/Incorrect 1					3					
7.	The speak	er show	ved an ı	underst	anding	of the de	finition	s and te	rms used in the	he presentation.	
	Lack of understanding 1				2		4	5	Understanding		
8.	The speaker showed an understanding of the theorems used in the presentation.										
	Lack of ur			1	2	3	4	5	Understandi		

9. The speaker used mathematical notation correctly and appropriately.												
	Incorrect/Inappropriate		ate 1	2	3	4	5	5 Correct/Appro		ropriate	;	
10.	Technology	was use	d approp	riately t	o enhan	ce the a	udience'	s under	standing	5 .		
	Inappropriate 1		2	3	4	5	5 Appropriate					
11.	Visual aids v	were use	ed approp	oriately.								
	Inappropriat	e 1	2	3	4	5	App	ropriate				
12.	12. The speaker's use of voice (clarity, appropriate variation in pitch/rate/intensity, etc.) enhanced the presentation and helped maintain audience interest.)	
	Poorly done	1	2	3	4	5	Wel	l done				
13.	The speaker	maintai	ned cons	sistent ey	ye conta	ct with t	the audie	ence.				
	Poorly done	1	2	3	4	5	Wel	l done				
14.	The speaker	e speaker involved the audience to an appropriate degree.										
	Poorly done	1	2	3	4	5	Wel	l done				
15.	The speaker projector con		_						xboard a	nd/or o	verhead	
	Poorly done 1 2				4	-		Well done				
16.	The speaker	used pro	oper grai	nmar, sı	pelling,	and proi	nunciatio	on.				
	Poorly done 1		2	3	4	5	Well done					
The	e following it	ems are	to be co	mpleted	by the i	nstructo	or:					
17.	The presente	er chose	a proble	m/article	e and sc	heduled	his/her	presenta	tion in a	a timely	manner	
	Untimely 1 2		3	4	5	Tim	nely					
18.	The presente	er's cons	sultation	with fac	culty wa	s approp	oriate.					
	Inappropriate 1		2	3	4	5	Appropriate					
Ov	erall grade fo	or the pre	esentatio	n, includ	ding the	written	portion:					
	<i>U</i>	. r		,	\mathcal{L}		1					